

# Projection onto unit $L_1$ ball

Andersen Ang

Mathématique et recherche opérationnelle, UMONS, Belgium

[manshun.ang@umons.ac.be](mailto:manshun.ang@umons.ac.be)    Homepage: [angms.science](http://angms.science)

First draft: August 6, 2018

Last update: December 24, 2020

# Projection

- ▶ The Euclidean projection of a given vector  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , denoted as  $P_S(\mathbf{y})$  is a function  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  that outputs a point  $\mathbf{x}$  by solving the following optimization problem

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = P_S(\mathbf{y}) = \underset{\mathbf{x} \in S}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2,$$

- ▶ **Question:** what if  $S$  is the unit  $L_1$  ball?

$$\text{Unit } L_1 \text{ ball } S = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq 1\}$$

- ▶ Remark about the projection problem.
  - ▶ The projection onto unit  $L_1$  ball is a convex optimization problem.
    - ▶ The objective function is (strongly) convex.
    - ▶ The constraint set  $S = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq 1\}$  is convex and compact.
  - ▶ There exists a unique sol. to this projection problem. Details [here](#).

## Remarks

- ▶ The inequality in  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq 1$  is important, without it  $S$  is non-convex.
- ▶ *Showing  $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = 1\}$  is non-convex by example*  
Consider 2-dimensional case, the point  $\mathbf{x}_1 = [1, 0]$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2 = [-1, 0]$  both have unit  $L_1$  norm but for the point  $0.5\mathbf{x}_1 + 0.5\mathbf{x}_2 = [0, 0]$ , its  $L_1$  norm is 0.
- ▶ Unlike the case of  $L_2$  norm, there is no close form solution for projection onto unit  $L_1$  norm ball.

## Lagrangian and KKT system

- ▶ Instead of considering

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq 1} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2,$$

we consider

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq 1} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2,$$

the squares are for simplicity of taking derivatives and it does not affect the structure of the proof, so proving the squared-problem also proves the original problem.

- ▶ We now study the problem by Lagrangian method:
  - ▶ Build the Lagrangian and KKT system, we get 2 variables  $(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)$ .
  - ▶ Find  $\lambda$  such that KKT system is satisfied. Such  $\lambda$  reduces the KKT system to a single variable in  $x$ .
  - ▶ Solve for  $\mathbf{x}$  and we are done.

Lagrangian of  $\operatorname{argmin}_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq 1} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2$

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda(\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 - 1) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 - \lambda.$$

- ▶  $L_1, L_2$  norms are separable, so  $\mathcal{L}$  can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\left( \frac{1}{2}(x_i - y_i)^2 + \lambda|x_i| \right)}_{l_i(x_i, \lambda)} - \lambda,$$

- ▶ Recall the stationarity condition in KKT is  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = 0$ , which is

$$\left[ \frac{\partial l_1(x_1, \lambda)}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial l_2(x_2, \lambda)}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial l_n(x_n, \lambda)}{\partial x_n} \right] = 0.$$

As  $L_1$  norm is not differentiable so we have to use subgradient

- ▶ Using subgradient,  $\partial_{\mathbf{x}} l_i = 0$  becomes  $0 \in x_i - y_i + \lambda \operatorname{sgn}(x_i)$ , which is

$$y_i = x_i + \lambda \operatorname{sgn}(x_i)$$

Swaps the subject (express  $x_i$  as a function of  $y_i$ ) gives the soft-thresholding operator

$$x_i = \operatorname{sgn}(y_i)(|y_i| - \lambda)_+, \text{ where } (\cdot)_+ = \max\{\cdot, 0\}.$$

# KKT conditions

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda(\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 - 1) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 - \lambda.$$

► KKT conditions

Stationarity	$0 \in \partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)$	$i$	$x_i = \text{sgn}(y_i)( y_i  - \lambda)_+$
Slackness	$\lambda(\ \mathbf{x}\ _1 - 1) = 0$	$ii$	$\lambda(\ \mathbf{x}\ _1 - 1) = 0$
Primal feasibility	$\ \mathbf{x}\ _1 - 1 \leq 0$	$iii$	$\ \mathbf{x}\ _1 - 1 \leq 0$
Dual feasibility	$\lambda \geq 0$	$iv$	$\lambda \geq 0$

$\implies$

- As we want to find  $\lambda$  that the KKT system holds so we start with  $(iv)$  with two possibilities :  $\lambda = 0$  or  $\lambda > 0$ .

(i)  $x_i = \text{sgn}(y_i)(|y_i| - \lambda)_+$ , (ii)  $\lambda(\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 - 1) = 0$  (iii)  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 - 1 \leq 0$ .

► **Cases**  $\lambda = 0$

(i) gives  $x_i = \text{sgn}(y_i)|y_i| = y_i$ , together with (iii) gives  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \|\mathbf{y}\|_1 \leq 1$ , this corresponds to the case  $\mathbf{y} \in S$ .

► **Cases**  $\lambda > 0$

(ii) gives  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = 1$ , that is

$$|x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_i| + \dots + |x_n| = 1 \quad (1)$$

Apply (i) into (1) we get  $\sum_{i=1}^n |\text{sgn}(y_i)(|y_i| - \lambda)_+| = 1$ , note that  $\text{sgn}(y_i)$  and the absolute sign can be removed and we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (|y_i| - \lambda)_+ = 1. \quad (2)$$

So in this case the solution is

$$x_i = \text{sgn}(y_i)(|y_i| - \lambda)_+,$$

where  $\lambda$  is the root of (2), a piece-wise linear equation.

$$x_i = \text{sgn}(y_i)(|y_i| - \lambda)_+$$

- ▶ The expression  $x_i = \text{sgn}(y_i)(|y_i| - \lambda)_+$  has explicit form by considering 3 intervals

$$(1) y_i > \lambda, \quad (2) y_i \in [-\lambda, \lambda], \quad (3) y_i < -\lambda$$

- ▶ Case (1) : as  $\lambda > 0$  so

- ▶  $y_i > \lambda > 0$  is positive, so  $\text{sgn}(y_i) = 1$
- ▶  $y_i > \lambda$ , so  $(\cdot)_+$  can be removed

and we have  $x_i = y_i - \lambda$ .

- ▶ Case (2) : as  $|y_i| \leq \lambda$  so  $|y_i| - \lambda \leq 0$  so  $(|y_i| - \lambda)_+ = 0$  thus  $x_i = 0$

- ▶ Case (3) : as  $\lambda > 0$  so

- ▶  $y_i < -\lambda < 0$  is negative, so  $\text{sgn}(y_i) = -1$  and  $y_i = -|y_i|$
- ▶  $y_i < -\lambda \implies |y_i| > \lambda \implies |y_i| - \lambda > 0$  so  $(\cdot)_+$  can be removed

$$x_i = \text{sgn}(y_i)(|y_i| - \lambda)_+ = -(|y_i| - \lambda) = -|y_i| + \lambda = y_i + \lambda.$$



## Summary

- ▶ If  $\|\mathbf{y}\|_1 \leq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ . Otherwise we have component-wise solution as

$$x_i = \operatorname{sgn}(y_i)(|y_i| - \lambda)_+ = \begin{cases} y_i - \lambda & y_i > \lambda \\ 0 & y_i \in [-\lambda, \lambda] \\ y_i + \lambda & y_i < -\lambda \end{cases},$$

where  $\lambda$  is the root of the piecewise linear equation to establish KKT:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (|y_i| - \lambda)_+ = 1.$$

- ▶ The piecewise linear equation can be solved by sorting. Details [here](#). This method has the cost  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ .
- ▶ The best method so far: Laurent Condat, Fast projection onto the simplex and the  $l_1$  ball, Mathematical Programming, 2016.
- ▶ The paper also reviewed several methods (including the highly cited Duchi2008), and listed out the errors of these methods.
- ▶ For small  $n$ , all methods are OK, can simply use the sorting-based method. For big  $n$  ( $\geq 10^6$ ), one should use Laurent Condat's method.

End of document