

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Close form formula of } \|\mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T\|_2 \\ & = \max \left\{ 1, \sqrt{1 + k\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{k}{2} \sqrt{k^2 + 4 + 4k\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Andersen Ang

Mathématique et recherche opérationnelle  
UMONS, Belgium

[manshun.ang@umons.ac.be](mailto:manshun.ang@umons.ac.be)      Homepage: [angms.science](http://angms.science)

First draft : January 11, 2019

Last update : January 11, 2019

# Setting

Given two unit vectors  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , find the operator norm of the matrix

$$\mathbf{X} := \mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^\top,$$

where  $\mathbf{X}$  is the sum of identity plus a rank-1 perturbation :

- $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^\top$  is the outer product of the vector pair, which is a rank-1 perturbation matrix
- $k$  control the "size" of the rank-1 perturbation matrix : it control how far  $\mathbf{X}$  is drifted away from  $\mathbf{I}$  due to the perturbation

Note : we can always let  $k \geq 0$  since we can always absorb the negative sign into  $\mathbf{u}$  or  $\mathbf{v}$ .

Now we want to know the behaviour of  $\mathbf{X}$  being drifted away from  $\mathbf{I}$  by the perturbation. That is, what is the relationship between the norm of  $\mathbf{X}$  (denoted as  $\|\mathbf{X}\|$ ) and the given  $k, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ ?

In other words, we want to characterize the norm of a rank-1 perturbation.

# Characterization of norm of a rank-1 perturbation

We can completely characterize the behaviour of  $\mathbf{X}$  being drifted away by the perturbation as follows.

Given  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ , we know the angle between  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  :  $h = \cos \theta = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ .

In the case of operator norm, we have the following :

$$\|\mathbf{X}\| = \sup_{\|\mathbf{y}\|=1} \|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{y}\| = \max \left\{ 1, \left( 1 + kh + \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{k}{2} \sqrt{4 + 4kh + h^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.$$

This document : prove this formula.

## Key idea of the proof : orthonormal matrix ... 1/3

The key idea as well as the starting point of the proof is the unitary invariant property of operator norm : for all orthonormal matrix  $\mathbf{Q}$  :

$$\|\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{Q}\| = \|\mathbf{X}\|.$$

Put  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^\top$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{X}\| &= \|\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{Q}\| &= & \|\mathbf{Q}^\top (\mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^\top) \mathbf{Q}\| \\ & & \stackrel{\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I}}{=} & \|\mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{Q}\| \\ & & &= \|\mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v})^\top\| \\ & & &= \|\mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{u}'\mathbf{v}'^\top\|,\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{u}' = \mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v}$  are the rotated vectors  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ . The rotation is  $\mathbf{Q}$ . The expression above tells that such rotation does not change the norm of  $\mathbf{X}$ .

As  $\mathbf{Q}$  is any arbitrary, we have the freedom to design a special  $\mathbf{Q}$  to fit out purpose : we can just let  $\mathbf{Q}$  be a orthonormal matrix with the first column being as  $\mathbf{u}$  (or  $\mathbf{v}$ ).

## Key idea of the proof : orthonormal matrix ... 2/3

Suppose  $\mathbf{Q}$  has the structure

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & \dots & | \\ \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{q}_2 & \mathbf{q}_3 & \dots & \mathbf{q}_n \\ | & | & | & \dots & | \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n$  are  $n - 1$  unit vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that are orthogonal to  $\mathbf{u}$ .  
Then

$$\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} - & \mathbf{u} & - \\ - & \mathbf{q}_2 & - \\ - & \mathbf{q}_3 & - \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ - & \mathbf{q}_n & - \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{u} \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{u} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{q}_n, \mathbf{u} \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{e}_1, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  stand for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  standard basis vector.

Geometrically, the expression  $\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{e}_1$  means  $\mathbf{Q}$  rotates the unit vector  $\mathbf{u}$  to give the vector along  $x$ -axis.

## Key idea of the proof : orthonormal matrix ... 3/3

Now consider  $\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{y}$ . For  $\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & \dots & | \\ \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{q}_2 & \dots & \mathbf{q}_n \\ | & | & \dots & | \end{bmatrix}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{q}_n, \mathbf{v} \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ \bar{\mathbf{v}} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \bar{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{q}_n, \mathbf{v} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

We have

$$\|\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{v}\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle^2 + \|\bar{\mathbf{v}}\|^2.$$

By the fact that  $\mathbf{v}$  has unit norm and norm is unitary invariant : we have  $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \|\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{v}\| = 1$  and also

$$\|\bar{\mathbf{v}}\|^2 = 1 - \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle^2. \quad (2)$$

Now we can begin the proof.

# The proof ... 1/4

Let  $\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & \dots & | \\ \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{q}_2 & \dots & \mathbf{q}_n \\ | & | & \dots & | \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are  $n - 1$  unit vectors orthogonal to  $\mathbf{u}$ . Then

$$\|\mathbf{X}\| = \|\mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v})^\top\| \stackrel{(1)}{=} \|\mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v})^\top\|.$$

Recall  $\|\mathbf{X}\|^2 = \sigma_{\max}^2(\mathbf{X}) = \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\top)$ , consider  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\top$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\top &= (\mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v})^\top)(\mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v})^\top)^\top \\ &= (\mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v})^\top)(\mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v}\mathbf{e}_1^\top) \\ &= \mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v})^\top + k\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v}\mathbf{e}_1^\top + k\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v})^\top k\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v}\mathbf{e}_1^\top \\ &= \mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v})^\top + k\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v}\mathbf{e}_1^\top + k^2\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v})^\top \mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v}\mathbf{e}_1^\top. \end{aligned}$$

As  $(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v})^\top \mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^\top \underbrace{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^\top}_{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{v} = 1$ , then

$$\|\mathbf{X}\|^2 = \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\top) = \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v})^\top + k\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v}\mathbf{e}_1^\top + k^2\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_1^\top).$$

## The proof ... 2/4

Let  $\mathbf{I}_{n-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{O}_{n-1}$  be the identity and zero matrix of order  $n - 1$  respectively.

Let  $\mathbf{0}$  be zero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  so  $\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$ . With  $\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ \bar{\mathbf{v}} \end{bmatrix}$  :

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\top \\ &= \mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v})^\top + k\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{v}\mathbf{e}_1^\top + k^2\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_1^\top \\ &= \mathbf{I} + k \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ \bar{\mathbf{v}} \end{bmatrix}^\top + k \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ \bar{\mathbf{v}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}^\top + k^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}^\top \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}^\top \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} + k \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle & \bar{\mathbf{v}}^\top \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{O}_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} + k \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle & \mathbf{0}^\top \\ \bar{\mathbf{v}} & \mathbf{O}_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} + k^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}^\top \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{O}_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2k\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + k^2 & k\bar{\mathbf{v}}^\top \\ k\bar{\mathbf{v}} & \mathbf{I}_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

So we expressed  $\|\mathbf{X}\|^2$  as the largest eigenvalue of the 2-by-2 block matrix

$$\|\mathbf{X}\|^2 = \lambda_{\max} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2k\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + k^2 & k\bar{\mathbf{v}}^\top \\ k\bar{\mathbf{v}} & \mathbf{I}_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \right).$$



## The proof ... 3/4

With  $\|\mathbf{X}\|^2 = \lambda_{\max} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2k\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + k^2 & k\bar{\mathbf{v}}^\top \\ k\bar{\mathbf{v}} & \mathbf{I}_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \right)$ , we compute the eigenvalue by solving the characteristic equation

$$0 = \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - (1 + 2k\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + k^2) & -k\bar{\mathbf{v}}^\top \\ -k\bar{\mathbf{v}} & (\lambda - 1)\mathbf{I}_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \right). \quad (3)$$

Using the formula of determinant of block matrix

$$\det \left( \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \det(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}) \det(\mathbf{D}), \quad \mathbf{D} \text{ is non-singular.}$$

(3) becomes

$$0 = \det \left( \lambda - (1 + 2k\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + k^2) - \frac{k^2}{\lambda - 1} \underbrace{\bar{\mathbf{v}}^\top \bar{\mathbf{v}}}_{\|\bar{\mathbf{v}}\|^2} \right) \det \left( (\lambda - 1)\mathbf{I}_{n-1} \right)$$

$$\stackrel{(2)}{=} \det \left( \lambda - (1 + 2k\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + k^2) - \frac{k^2}{\lambda - 1} (1 - \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle^2) \right) (\lambda - 1)$$

$$= \left( \lambda - (1 + 2k\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + k^2) - \frac{k^2}{\lambda - 1} (1 - \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle^2) \right) (\lambda - 1). \quad 9 / 12$$

## The proof ... 4/4

Let  $h = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ , solving the last equation means

$$\lambda - (1 + 2kh + k^2) - \frac{k^2}{\lambda - 1}(1 - h^2) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = 1$$

That is, the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\top$  are either 1 or  $\lambda^*$ , where  $\lambda^*$  is the root of the first equation above, which can be shown to be

$$\lambda^* = 1 + kh + \frac{k^2}{2} \pm \frac{k}{2} \sqrt{k^2 + 4 + 4kh}.$$

Note : a  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix have  $n$  eigenvalue. The eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$  has the multiplicity of  $n - 1$ , due to the matrix  $\mathbf{I}_{n-1}$ . With these

$$\|\mathbf{X}\|^2 = \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\top) = \max \left( 1, 1 + kh + \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{k}{2} \sqrt{k^2 + 4 + 4kh} \right).$$

By  $\|\mathbf{X}\| = \sqrt{\|\mathbf{X}\|^2}$ , we have

$$\|\mathbf{X}\| = \|\mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^\top\| = \max \left\{ 1, \left( 1 + kh + \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{k}{2} \sqrt{k^2 + 4 + 4kh} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}. \quad \square$$

The roots of  $\lambda - (1 + 2kh + k^2) - \frac{k^2}{\lambda - 1}(1 - h^2) = 0$

The step-by-step proof to show the roots are

$$\lambda^* = 1 + kh + \frac{k^2}{2} \pm \frac{k}{2} \sqrt{k^2 + 4 + 4kh}.$$

Multiply  $\lambda - 1$  :

$$\lambda^2 - (1 + 2kh + k^2)\lambda - \lambda + (1 + 2kh + k^2) - k^2(1 - h^2) = 0.$$

Re-arrange

$$\lambda^2 - (2 + 2kh + k^2)\lambda + (1 + 2kh + k^2h^2) = 0.$$

Apply quadratic formula

$$\lambda = \frac{(2 + 2kh + k^2) \pm \sqrt{(2 + 2kh + k^2)^2 - 4(1 + 2kh + k^2h^2)}}{2}.$$

Simplify and expand

$$\lambda = 1 + kh + \frac{k^2}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(4 + 4k^2h^2 + k^4 + 8kh + 4k^2 + 4k^3h) - (4 + 8kh + 4k^2h^2)}}{2}.$$

Simplify

$$\lambda = 1 + kh + \frac{k^2}{2} \pm \frac{k}{2} \sqrt{k^2 + 4 + 4kh} \quad \square$$

## Last page - summary

Given  $k \geq 0$ , two unit vector  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the operator norm of the matrix  $\mathbf{X} := \mathbf{I} + k\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^\top$  is

$$\|\mathbf{X}\| = \max \left\{ 1, \left( 1 + kh + \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{k}{2} \sqrt{k^2 + 4 + 4kh} \right) \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Special case :  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  are orthogonal,  $h = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$

$$\|\mathbf{X}\| = \max \left\{ 1, \sqrt{1 + \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{k}{2} \sqrt{k^2 + 4}} \right\} \stackrel{k \geq 0}{=} \sqrt{1 + \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{k}{2} \sqrt{k^2 + 4}}.$$

Note that the function  $\sqrt{1+x}$  is concave so we can upper bound it by it's first order Taylor approximation  $1 + \frac{x}{2}$ .

End of document