Penalty method is not effective for nonnegative least square Iterative Rewieighted Least Square

Andersen Ang

Mathématique et recherche opérationnelle UMONS, Belgium

manshun.ang@umons.ac.be Homepage: angms.science

First draft : Feburary 19, 2020 Last update : February 19, 2020

The max function and absolute value

The max function can be seen as the sum of identity and absolute function

$$\max(x, y) = \frac{x + y + |x - y|}{2}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\max(x,0) = \frac{x+|x|}{2}.$$



Quadratic characterization of absolute value

$$|x| = \min_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha$$

where the optimal $\alpha = |x|$.



The problem

$$\underset{x \ge 0}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ f(x),$$

has the following penalized form

$$\underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ f_{\lambda}(x) = f(x) + \lambda g(x), \ \ g(x) = \max\{-x, 0\}.$$

See here for smoothing the max operator using softmax.

Penalty method

By using the quadratic characterization of absolute value, we have

$$f_{\lambda}(x) = f(x) + \lambda \max\{-x, 0\}$$

= $f(x) + \lambda \frac{-x + |-x|}{2}$
= $f(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2}(|x| - x)$
= $f(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\min_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right) - x\right)$
= $\min_{\alpha} f(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha - x\right)$
= $\min_{\alpha} f(x) + \frac{\lambda}{4}\frac{x^2}{\alpha} + \frac{\lambda}{4}\alpha - \frac{\lambda}{2}x$

Hence, we have

$$\underset{x,\alpha}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x) + \frac{\lambda}{4} \frac{x^2}{\alpha} + \frac{\lambda}{4} \alpha - \frac{\lambda}{2} x.$$

Nonnegative Least Squares (NNLS) : given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, find $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ by solving

$$(\mathcal{P})$$
 : argmin $f(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2.$

Using quadratic characterization, we have

$$(\mathcal{P}') : \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x},\alpha_i} f_{\lambda_i}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{4} \frac{x_i^2}{\alpha_i} + \frac{\lambda_i}{4} \alpha_i - \frac{\lambda_i}{2} x_i\right).$$

Solving the penalized least squares

$$(\mathcal{P}') : \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x},\alpha_i} f_{\lambda_i}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{4} \frac{x_i^2}{\alpha_i} + \frac{\lambda_i}{4} \alpha_i - \frac{\lambda_i}{2} x_i\right)$$

Denote the column of A as a_i , we have

$$f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{4} \frac{x_i^2}{\alpha_i} + \frac{\lambda_i}{4} \alpha_i - \frac{\lambda_i}{2} x_i\right).$$

Focusing on the i^{th} term, we have

$$f_{\lambda}(x_i) = \frac{1}{2} \|x_i \mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{b}_{-i}\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda_i}{4} \frac{x_i^2}{\alpha_i} + \frac{\lambda_i}{4} \alpha_i - \frac{\lambda_i}{2} x_i + c.$$

where $\mathbf{b}_{-i} = \sum_{j \neq i} x_j \mathbf{a}_j - \mathbf{b}$. Expand it we get

$$f_{\lambda}(x_i) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{a}_i\|_2^2 x_i^2 - \mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{b}_{-i} x_i + \frac{\lambda_i}{4} \frac{x_i^2}{\alpha_i} + \frac{\lambda_i}{4} \alpha_i - \frac{\lambda_i}{2} x_i + c.$$

Solving the penalized least squares

We now arrive at a coordinate descent with componentwise subproblem

$$x_i = \operatorname*{argmin}_{x,\alpha} f_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{a}_i\|_2^2 x^2 - \mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{b}_{-i} x + \frac{\lambda_i}{4} \frac{x^2}{\alpha} + \frac{\lambda_i}{4} \alpha - \frac{\lambda_i}{2} x,$$

in which the objective function is easy to solve on x, it has close form solution. To solve it, we can solve $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}f_\lambda(x)=0$. The derivative is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_{\lambda}(x) = \|\mathbf{a}_i\|_2^2 x - \mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{b}_{-i} + \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \frac{x}{\alpha} - \frac{\lambda_i}{2}$$

One can see that solving $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_{\lambda,\mu}(x) = 0$ requires to find the root of a linear equation, which is very simple :

$$x = \frac{\mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{b}_{-i} + \frac{\lambda_i}{2}}{\|\mathbf{a}_i\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda_i}{2}}$$

Solving NNLS by iterative reweighted least squares

$$(\mathcal{P}') : \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x},\alpha_i} f_{\lambda_i}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{4} \frac{x_i^2}{\alpha_i} + \frac{\lambda_i}{4} \alpha_i - \frac{\lambda_i}{2} x_i\right).$$

Algorithm 1: Iterative reweighted least square for NNLS

Result: A solution \mathbf{x} that approximately solves (\mathcal{P}) **Initialization** Set $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $\lambda_i > 0$, $\alpha_i > 0$ while stopping condition is not met **do**

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{for } i = 1 \dots n \text{ do} \\ | & \text{Compute } \mathbf{b}_{-i} = \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbf{x}_j \mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{b} \\ | & x_i = \frac{\mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{b}_{-i} + \frac{\lambda_i}{2}}{\|\mathbf{a}_i\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda_i}{2}} \\ | & \text{Update } \alpha_i = |x_i| \\ \text{end} \end{array}$$

end

As \mathbf{b}_{-i} has to be recomputed *n* times per iteration *k*, thus the complexity of this algorithm is high. Once again, penalty method on NNLS may not be a good choice. 9 / 10

- Max function and absolute value.
- Quadratic characterization of absolute value.
- Penalty term on nonnegative constrained problem.
- Solving NNLS using iteratively reweighted least squares.

End of document